## Keyboard and Keys Image Recognition

## About

The goal of this thesis is to create a working solution for keyboard keys recognition to automate robotic writing on keyboards. The work is split into separate keyboard detection, single-character detection and post-processing of the results. Each of these parts required individual datasets.

## Datasets

## Keyboards

- 615 keyboards of different types from various devices
- Data augmentation $\rightarrow 20000$ images
- Scene background (COCO17) or single color
- 1280x720 px


Figure 1: A keyboard is generated on a background of the same color as is its averaged background color

## Conditions

Due to extending an existing system AIVA, some factors can be taken into consideration:

- Full-HD cameras
- Camera calibration system
- Lab/office environment


## Characters

- 99 classes
- Data augmentation $\rightarrow 50000$ images
- Grayscale (high contrast between keyboard
background and characters)
- 640x640 px (prepared for YOLOv7)


Figure 2: Main character W and other random characters generated for character detection training

## Technologies

- PyTorch + OpenCV
- YOLOv7
- Canny edge detection


## Post-processing

- Validation dataset
- 120 manually annotated images
- 60 for various layouts
- 60 for missing character computation
- Any input image size (real-world examples)


Figure 3: An annotated smartphone keyboard with some missing characters expected to be computed

## Image processing



Input image

YOLOv7 keyboard detection model


Figure 4: Flowchart of the recognition process


Figure 5: The first phase detects a keyboard region in an input image

## Recognition results

## Keyboard detection

| Dataset Precision | Recall | mAP@.5 | mAP@.95 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Validation | 1 | 1 | 0.996 | 0.985 |
| Test | 1 | 1 | 0.996 | 0.971 |

Table 1: Results of selected tiny variation of the YOLOv7 model on the generated keyboard detection dataset


Figure 6: The character recognition is run on the detected keyboard region. Here it can be seen that some characters were undetected and there are also two false positives.

## Character detection

| Dataset Precision |  | Recall | mAP@. 5 | mAP@.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Validation | 0.979 | 0.953 | 0.979 | 0.85 |
| Test | 0.979 | 0.951 | 0.977 | 0.848 |

Table 2: Results of the YOLOv7 model on the generated character detection dataset

| Dataset |  | Precision | Recall | mAP@.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | mAP@.95

Table 3: Results of tiny variation of the YOLOv7 model on the generated character detection dataset

Figure 7: Final detection result after application of the post-processing algorithm. Missing characters were computed. False positives were removed. Special key "mode" was recognized and space was found thanks to the Canny edge detection.


Post-processing

|  | Normal model |  | Tiny model |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charset | Precision |  | Recall | Precision |
| Recall |  |  |  |  |
| All | 0.942 | 0.949 | 0.964 | 0.942 |
| Alphabet | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Numbers | 0.998 | 0.987 | 1 | 0.993 |
| Alphanumeric | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 8}$ |
| Special keys | 0.965 | 0.910 | 0.987 | 0.914 |
| Special <br> characters | 0.684 | 0.767 | 0.762 | 0.705 |

Table 4: Results of post-processing on the validation dataset. Target alphanumeric characters outperform.

