



# MTBDD-based Quantum Circuit Simulation

## **Sára Jobranová**, 2023 Supervisor: Ing. Ondřej Lengál, Ph.D

## Why quantum simulation?

Even though the history of quantum computing dates back to the 1980s, it is useful to simulate the behavior of quantum circuits on classical computers as the hardware still has a largely experimental character. Our implementation of a quantum simulator, **MEDUSA**, converts input quantum circuits specified in OpenQASM (Open Quantum Assembly Language) and computes its end state represented as an MTBDD.



Figure 1: The aim of quantum simulation is to calculate the final state vector of the system

#### **MTBDDs**

#### MTBDDs (Multi-terminal binary decision diagrams) encode



## **Quantum circuits**

**Quantum state**. A qubit's state  $|\psi\rangle$  can be in a superposition of the computational basis states  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ 

 $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle,$ 

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  are the *probability amplitudes* for the respective basis states. I.e., it is a two-dimensional unit complex vector representing the probabilities that upon measurement its value will be  $|0\rangle$  or  $|1\rangle$ .

**Quantum gates** are used to perform operations on qubits and hence alter the system's quantum state. They can be represented as unitary matrices, then the update of the system's quantum state is carried out as a matrix multiplication of the (dimension-wise modified) gate matrix with the system's state vector.



functions  $f(v_1, ..., v_n)$ :  $\{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{D}$ . They are a generalised variant of ROBDDs (Reduced ordered binary decision diagram), usually simply called BDDs, where terminals can have an arbitrary value.



Figure 2: Example MTBDD (a dashed edge denotes a low successor, a solid edge denotes a high successor)

#### **MTBDD-based quantum circuit representation**

• Algebraic representation of complex numbers:

(1) 
$$z = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \cdot (a + b\omega + c\omega^2 + d\omega^3),$$

where  $a, b, c, d, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\omega = e^{\frac{m}{4}}$  [1, 2].

- A circuit's state vector is represented with an MTBDD such that the leaves contain the algebraic representation of the probability amplitudes.
- Gate operations are applied either as universal or as permutation-based update formulae for this MTBDD.
  - Universal update formulae [1] defined using the following operators on the function  $T(b_{n-1}, ..., b_0)$ :  $\{0, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  represented by the system's state's MTBDD:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (2) \ T_{q_t}(b_{n-1},\ldots,b_t,\ldots,b_0) = T(b_{n-1},\ldots,1,\ldots,b_0) & (4) \ B_{q_t}(b_{n-1},\ldots,b_0) = b_t \\ (3) \ T_{\overline{q_t}}(b_{n-1},\ldots,b_t,\ldots,b_0) = T(b_{n-1},\ldots,0,\ldots,b_0) & (5) \ B_{\overline{q_t}}(b_{n-1},\ldots,b_0) = \overline{b_t} \end{array}$ 

Table 1: Universal update formulae (target qubits are denoted as  $q_t$ ,  $q'_t$ , control qubits are denoted as  $q_c$ ,  $q'_c$  if the gate uses them)

Gate	Update formula
$X[q_t]$	$B_{q_t} \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}} + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t}$
$Y[q_t]$	$\omega^2 \cdot (B_{q_t} \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}} - B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t})$
$Z[q_t]$	$B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T - B_{q_t} \cdot T$
$\mathrm{H}[q_t]$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(T_{\overline{q_t}} + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t} - B_{q_t} \cdot T\right)$
$S[q_t]$	$\dot{B}_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T + \omega^2 \cdot B_{q_t} \cdot T$
$T[q_t]$	$B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T + \omega \cdot B_{q_t} \cdot T$
$\operatorname{Rx}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\left[q_t\right]$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(T - \omega^2 \cdot \left(B_{q_t} \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}} + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t}\right)\right)$
$\operatorname{Ry}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\left[q_{t}\right]$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(T_{\overline{q_t}} + B_{q_t} \cdot T - B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t}\right)$
$CNOT[q_c, q_t]$	$\dot{B}_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot T + B_{q_c} \cdot B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T_{q_t} + B_{q_c} \cdot B_{q_t} \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}}$
$\operatorname{CZ}[q_c, q_t]$	$B_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot T + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T - B_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot T - B_{q_c} \cdot B_{q_t} \cdot T$
Toffoli $[q_c, q'_c, q_t]$	$ \left  \begin{array}{c} B_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot T + B_{\overline{q_{c'}}} \cdot T - B_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot B_{\overline{q_{c'}}} \cdot T + B_{q_t} \cdot B_{q_c} \cdot B_{q_{c'}} \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}} + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot B_{q_c} \cdot B_{q_{c'}} \cdot T_{q_t} \end{array} \right  $
Fredkin $[q_c, q_t, q'_t]$	$\left  \begin{array}{ccc} B_{\overline{q_c}} \cdot T + B_{q_t} \cdot B_{q_{t'}} \cdot B_{q_t} \cdot T + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot B_{\overline{q_{t'}}} \cdot B_{q_c} \cdot T + B_{q_t} \cdot B_{\overline{q_{t'}}} \cdot B_{q_c} \cdot \end{array} \right $
	$  \cdot T_{\overline{q_t}q_{t'}} + B_{\overline{q_t}} \cdot B_{q_{t'}} \cdot B_{q_c} \cdot T_{q_t\overline{q_{t'}}}  $

(a) Pauli-X gate (b) Hadamard gate (a NOT gate equivalent)

(c) CNOT (Controlled-NOT) gate

Figure 4: Some example gates and their matrix representation

## **Experiments**

The experiments consisted of comparing our implemented quantum simulator MEDUSA to the current BDD-based state-of-the-art simulator SliQSim [2] by measuring their respective runtimes for various quantum circuits – e.g., circuits implementing Grover's search algorithm (both single and multi-oracle) or multi-controlled Toffoli gates.



The used time-out was set to 100 000 seconds (27 hours 46 min 40 s, wall-clock time). Failed tests (either due to the time-out limit or an error)

 Permutation-based update formulae – cannot be used universally with every gate but are less computationally demanding



Figure 3: Circuit to create the Bell and its resulting MTBDD (leaf value F denotes zero probability amplitude)

## have the according coordinate set to the maximum possible runtime. The axes are logarithmic.

Table 2: Benchmark results for a few of the most complex circuits (#Q and #Gates denote the number of circuit's qubits and gates respectively)

Benchmark	#Q	#Gates	Runtime (s)	
			MEDUSA	SliQSim
19	38	$95 \ 445$	13.94	2135
20	40	141 526	22.77	4983
21	42	211 505	36.27	11380
23	46	463 921	94.58	59390
24	48	681 818	148.3	Timed-out

#### (a) Grover

#### (b) Feynman

Bonchmark	#Q	#Gates	Runtime (s)	
Denemiark			MEDUSA	SliQSim
hwb11	15	87 789	5.438	667.4
hwb12	20	171 482	13.27	2675
$gf2^64_mult$	192	12 731	5.205	18.13
$gf2^128\_mult$	384	$50\ 043$	47.83	268.3
$gf2^256\_mult$	768	198 395	356.0	4132

#### References

Chen, Y.-F., Chung, K.-M., Lengál, O., Lin, J.-A., Tsai, W.-L. et al. An Automata-based Framework for Verification and Bug Hunting in Quantum Circuits (Technical Report). 2023. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.07747.
Tsai, Y.-H., Jiang, J.-H. R. and Jhang, C.-S. Bit-Slicing the Hilbert Space: Scaling Up Accurate Quantum Circuit Simulation. In: 2021 58th ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference (DAC). 2021, p. 439-444. DOI: 10.1109/DAC18074.2021.9586191.